

# Letters to the Editor ...

## EMF Hazards in Vehicles

Dear Sir: It is my understanding that just recently we have obtained from the manufacturers of hand-held telephones the acknowledgement that concentrated usage of handsets could possibly be the cause of serious health problems, with a recommendation to restrict usage if at all possible until more data comes to hand.

This interested me to measure what level of emission was present on a telephone, as figures on emissions appeared not to be available. To do this, I used a TriField meter which I had purchased as a result of an advertisement in NEXUS some time ago, the subject of radiation fields being of personal interest.

The telephone handset I tested was a new model and found to have a level of 5 milligauss at the ear position. The TriField manufacturer indicates that anything over a threshold reading of 3 milligauss should have human exposure limited until more data can be sourced. This of course agrees with the warnings received, as noted above.

However, you can imagine that I was very disturbed when, quite by accident, I had occasion to switch the TriField meter on whilst sitting in my car with the engine running, and found that at chest level a reading of 6 milligauss was present and steady. Further investigation found at the vital parts of my anatomy a figure of 10, rising to over 100 milligauss at the feet position.

Just to make sure this was not a problem with my particular car, I tested a friend's new six-cylinder model and found his vehicle was reading 10 milligauss at chest level, rising again as it approached the bulkhead of the car. Both cars have east-to-west engine design, which is significant as when I tested an old north-to-south model I only got an insignificant 0.6 milligauss at chest level.

My concern is a personal one, having driven many thousands of kilometres being exposed to this radiation. Would any of your readers be able to offer any

thoughts about the following:

a) Has anybody in the world done any similar tests which would confirm my finding, and is this knowledge available?

b) Would the *prolonged* exposure to these levels of electromagnetic radiation have harmful health effects and, if so, what could be expected?

c) Would it be possible to shield the driver and passenger at the front from these radiations? Note: I have tried PVC and lead, but they both had no effect at all upon the results, which in itself was a worrying finding.

d) Could these radiations be responsible for the Western world's rise in cancers and decline in sperm count? After all, it is only from the Second World War onwards that many of our present-day illnesses have expanded, which correlates to the increased availability of cars.

e) Could these radiations cause driver fatigue?

f) I did not test heavy vehicles, but this would be worth investigation.

Thank you for your excellent publication. It makes fine reading, and I enjoy the US, NZ and European input.

Yours sincerely, Donald Kitchen, Sandford, Tas., Australia

## Alarm at Los Alamos

Dear Editor: You people do not mess around! Little did I expect not only to appear in your next issue but have my letter published verbatim. Forgive me if I exercise great care here in the writing of this second letter. One of my former colleagues is none other than Wen Ho Lee, and we all know what has been done to his life over the past nine months or so.

By the way, he was one of the "X" men working in Tech Area 55, called "Legacy". That information is all public now. That our citizens will so easily accept the government-issued information regarding this "Q" project is astounding. Does the public really think that the Chinese would be in search of making a smaller and more effective nuclear warhead? They have been at their

own testing and production for 35 years now. They make their own small enough, including the neutron varieties.

No, the Legacy Project is a whole lot more serious than they are leading everyone to believe. I will only venture the true significance of Legacy by inference.

In my last letter, I concluded with a comment about the danger inherent in discussing certain issues. That danger is not to people like you, who present whatever they can find in publications. By your very nature (and our government's super-effective disinformation program) you are safe. The danger lies with the people who have close working knowledge and hard evidence available to them that might possibly reach people like you.

I am sorry that I do not have physical evidence relating to the microfibre injection program used by the United States Secret Service and other Federal agencies. I was exposed to it only because the originating governmental laboratory workers assumed that I knew all about it. Incidentally, the substance is usually delivered by injection through a special drill which is run through a residence wall or car undercarriage. It can be done in seconds.

The entire program is not even classified, as that might involve entry into the Registered Publications Inventory (as all classified documents, confidential, secret and top secret, must meet the rigorous standards laid out under the guidelines of the Registered Publications System). You can see the dilemma.

Currently this substance, thought to be non-systemic and therefore not a health hazard, is administered into areas around the "subjects under surveillance" without warrant or notification of any kind to any person, law enforcement organisation or even court authority. If the program were to be classified, then it would entail some sort of consideration (at the very least legal) and also would enter the system in writing and become "memorialised" from that point on. As

you have come to know, much of the UFO data and work has been held the same way...more as a "trade secret" than a patent, if you will.

I will communicate again following your next issue. I will devote that correspondence to the ongoing antigravity propulsion program in another tech area of backwoods Los Alamos...and, yes, it was threatened but not damaged by this summer's wildfire. I am sorry that I must maintain the security of plain (unfingerprinted) bond and envelope without return address and stamp without possibility of DNA analysis. All international mail from the US to international designations is intercepted, and that is unfortunately legal.

Cherubim.

## Fluoride Studies Studied

To the Editors: Last week [w/c 2 October], news of the "York study" came out of Great Britain, intended to reassure us that water fluoridation is perfectly safe.

I guess the York study wasn't actually a study, as studies go, but was more a study of the studies, because this study didn't study animals or people, it studied studies. Although this was touted to be the study to end all studies, almost immediately both the Green Party and the Fluoride Action Network published their studies of the York study. These were then studies of the study that studied the studies.

The studies of the study that studied the studies pointed out that this study that had studied the studies had left some 3,000 studies unstudied, and they called for a study of studies that would study *all* studies and therefore *not* necessitate a study of the study of the studies as this study had done.

They were quick to stress that funding for studies is difficult to obtain and that, while studies that study studies are not so unusual, almost no one will give grants to do a study of studies that study studies.

It would be even more difficult to obtain funding to study the studies *not* studied by a study which studied the studies, such

# ... more Letters to the Editor

**NB: Please keep letters to approx. 200 to 250 words in length. Ed.**

that people studying the issue won't know which studies have been studied and which studies were excluded in the studies that studied the studies.

Study schmuddy...just don't put it in my water.

Don Caron, alison.blake@excite.com

## WWII Veterans Research

Dear Duncan: Since publishing my father's book, entitled *My World War Two* (non-commercial venture from own savings; see website [www.powis.8m.com](http://www.powis.8m.com)), I have received considerable feedback from the children of affected War veterans.

It is apparent that we have inherited nervous problems from parent(s) who suffered severe shell-shock during World War Two. Without exception, we all have an almost identical history of hypersensitivity, depression, etc.

I feel sure there must be a large number of your readers who suffer these or associated problems and are unaware that it is possibly due to excessive trauma experienced by their parent(s) during World War Two. As feedback continues to increase, the possibility of coincidence is ruled out and the overwhelming evidence I am receiving is showing a clear link. As further information becomes available, I intend to add the details to our website.

I realise you are probably inundated with e-mails and letters and your workload (as mine) is excessive, but space given to publish this e-mail will be much appreciated. Keep up the good work.

Sincerely, Chris, Hertfordshire, UK, [egn@cwcom.net](mailto:egn@cwcom.net)

## MI6 & the Diana Conspiracy

I'm angry. I've just read NEXUS 7/06, and in my estimation your publication has just gone through the floorboards. Why? Well, you published "On Her Majesty's Secret Service" by Stephen Dorril.

On the surface it looks very reputable, but take a closer look. Whilst at first glance it would appear to be based on a first-hand account by former MI6 agent

Richard Tomlinson, a closer examination reveals serious inconsistencies. In particular, where it says "...the Princess of Wales...had clearly been under some kind of surveillance... While all the stories linking MI6 to the Princess's death in the car accident in France have been complete nonsense, it has been alleged..." Dorril offers no evidence to back this claim that these stories are "nonsense"; he simply mentions this as a matter of fact.

However, if he had indeed been in contact with Tomlinson, he would know that MI6 were directly involved in Princess Diana's death. As evidenced by his affidavit to Judge Hervé Stephan's inquiry into her death and his subsequent interview with the Swiss magazine *New Idea*. Nowhere is this mentioned in the article; instead, we get a lot of waffle about "insider" information, code-names and suchlike, as if Dorril were trying to convince us that he was indeed privy to insider information.

Either he's kidding himself or, worse still, he's acting as part of a disinformation program pertaining to Princess Diana's death. And if that is indeed the case, you've bought it lock, stock and barrel.

To rectify matters, you should publish Tomlinson's affidavit or his interview with *New Idea*. And you should try to make amends because people's lives are on the line here. Photographer James Andanson, one of the first photographers on the scene of the crash, is already dead after his body was found recently in a burnt-out car in the south of France; whilst Tomlinson himself is under increasing pressure, being hounded from country to country, living in effect like a man without a home and maybe even without a future.

And while all this is going on, what does NEXUS do? It publishes a highly suspect article which offers no new insight into Tomlinson and his historic affidavit but merely confuses the matter still further. Shame on you, NEXUS.

R. Stewart, rixon1@netscape-online.co.uk

*[Dear R. Stewart: I don't have a problem with your criticism of Stephen Dorril's claim about MI6 and the Princess of Wales. The conspiracy angles have been heavily covered in the press and on the Internet for the last three years, and, though the truth is still unresolved, I thought our readers would be attuned enough to judge Dorril's claim accordingly. I've been planning to publish Tomlinson's affidavit for a while, so now is as good a time as ever; turn to deBriefings. Next issue, I hope to follow with an update on Mohammed Al Fayed's lawsuit against the US government and various agencies.]*

*Whilst I also disagree with some of Dorril's conclusions, I'm sure you can see there are items in the article which can be used to support other points of view. I like to believe that NEXUS readers cast a wide information net, and I believe there were a few "fishes" in that item.*

*Incidentally, Stephen Dorril has refused us permission to publish part two of the extract from his book. He told me that he does not want his work associated with a magazine that covers UFOs! Go figure! Ed.]*

## Earth Expansion & Evolution

Dear Duncan: Re Global Expansion Tectonics in 7/06, what a wonderful piece of lateral thinking! Compliments to James Maxlow, and to you for doing it once again. The underlying story of the narrow-mindedness of scientists is one so often repeated that, sadly, it is now really a facet of "modern science" itself.

Take a look at the following paragraph from James Lovelock's *Gaia, The Practical Science of Planetary Medicine* (1991): "I am an old-fashioned scientist who believes, as Freeman Dyson put it in his book, *Infinite in All Directions*, that the ethic of science is based on fundamental open-mindedness, a willingness to subject every theory to analytical scrutiny and experimental test. The Royal Society of London in 1660 proudly took as its motto

the phrase *Nullius in verba*, meaning 'No man's word shall be final'. There is no place for infallibility in science. I was also brought up to believe that science was serious but not sacrosanct and that creative science required a sense of wonder and a sense of humour."

That about sums it up, I think. Lovelock also seems very relevant to the expansion theory itself, from two points of view. He talks about the radioactive core of the Earth, which produces the heat. If that is the case, and we are speaking about fission, then it makes sense, at least to an amateur physicist like me, that the density of the Earth's core is decreasing as atoms divide, causing expansion. Where does the idea of constant density come from: traditional science?

Also, I wonder to what extent James Maxlow has taken on board the planetary evolution proposed by Lovelock. I am thinking in particular of the atmosphere, and how it came about in symbiosis with life-forms. Just a question.

Anyway, nice one, and keep up the great work.

Grattan Healy, Belgium, [grattan\\_healy@compuserve.com](mailto:grattan_healy@compuserve.com)

## Rife Anti-Cancer Treatment

Dear Duncan: In Letters, vol. 7, no. 4, Ralph Cohen asks for people to contact him if they have had Rife treatment. I am not on the net or e-mail so can only do it through your column, which could help other readers.

After being told my cancer had spread, I refused chemotherapy and went over to Rotorua, New Zealand, for six weeks of treatment on the Rife machine, which is radiofrequency to stimulate the immune system. When I returned home, I had blood tests, ultrasound and a colonoscopy and was told that I was very lucky because they couldn't find any sign of cancer. That was 12 months ago, and I feel great.

The contact details are: Aidan Pargeter, Rotorua Naturopathic Centre, tel/fax +64 (0)7 346 3506.

Sincerely, Joy Overell, Currumbin Waters, Qld, Australia